# Atlas Hospitality Group California Hotel Sales Survey Year-End 2009







W Hotel San Francisco

Prepared by:

Atlas Hospitality Group 2500 Michelson Dr, Ste 110



Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone (949) 622–3400 Facsimile (949) 622–3410



Alan X. Reay, President

E-mail: alan@atlashospitality.com

## **Table of Contents**

- 1. Summary of California Highlights
- 2. Regional Highlights: Southern California
- 3. Regional Highlights: Northern California
- 4. Recap
- 5. Forecast

#### **List of Tables**

- 1. Northern California vs. Southern California
- 2. Southern California Highlights
- 3. Northern California Highlights

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group

Irvine, California

## **Summary of California Highlights**

- The number of California hotel sales dropped to a new low, plunging 52% from 2008 and 73% from 2007.
- The dollar volume dropped 75% from 2008 and 85% from 2007.
- The median price per room declined 30% from 2008 and 38% from 2007.
- The median price per room in Southern California dropped 40%.
- The median price per room in Northern California declined 24%.
- Los Angeles County, down 82%, had the steepest median price per room drop.
- Los Angeles County had the biggest drop in transactions, down 90%.
- The 404-room W in San Francisco was the largest California hotel sale of 2009. At \$90 million , it alone accounted for over 17% of the entire California dollar volume for the year.
- The 15-room Carmel Wayfarer Inn, at \$260,000 per key, had the highest price per room.
- 95% of the buyers were from California.
- Only San Bernardino County posted an increase in individual sales activity from 2008.

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group

Irvine, California

## **Southern California Highlights**

#### **Los Angeles County**

Los Angeles County had a 90% decrease in the number of individual hotel sales in 2009, from 39 to 4. The total dollar volume was down 96%.

The county's average price per room dropped 66% and the median price per room was down 82%.

The largest sale and most expensive in Los Angeles County was the 208-room Hotel Angeleno in Los Angeles, which sold for \$35,000,000.



**Hotel Angeleno Los Angeles** 

#### San Diego County

San Diego County had a 57% drop, from 14 to 6, in individual transactions. The total dollar volume decreased 65% to \$50.45 million.

San Diego County's average price per room dropped less than 1%. The median price per room decreased 28%.

The largest and most expensive sale was the \$28.25 million paid for the 145-room Homewood Suites in Carlsbad.



**Homewood Suites Carlsbad** 

#### **Orange County**

Individual transactions in Orange County decreased 47%, from 15 to 8. The total sales volume dropped 74%, down to \$54.75 million.

The average price per room dropped 38% and the median price per room was down 29%.

The largest and most expensive hotel sale in Orange County was the \$21 million paid for the 238-room Wyndham Orange County in Costa Mesa.



**Wyndham Orange County** 

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group Irvine, California

## Atlas Hospitality Group California Hotel Sales Survey Year-End 2009

#### **Riverside County**

Riverside County experienced a 61% decrease in transactions, from 18 to 7. The total dollar volume dropped to \$34.4 million, down 53%.

The average price per room dropped 36% and the median price per room decreased 22%.

The largest and most expensive sale came from the \$19.3 million paid for the 292-room Marriott in Riverside.



**Marriott Riverside** 

#### **San Bernardino County**

San Bernardino, up 37%, was the only California market to see an increase in the number of sales transactions, from 8 to 11. The total dollar volume dropped 3%, down to \$24.4 million.

The average price per room dropped 13%, while the median price per room decreased 35%.

The largest and most expensive hotel sold was the 110-room Red Rood Inn in Ontario at \$5.65 million.



**Red Roof Inn Ontario** 

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group Irvine, California

## **Northern California Highlights**

#### **San Francisco County**

San Francisco County had a 50% drop in individual transactions in 2009, from 4 to 2. The total dollar volume increased 316% to \$92 million.

The county's average and median price per room increased 6% and 20%, respectively.

The largest and most expensive hotel sold was the 404-room W in San Francisco. At \$90 million, it was the largest individual California sale in 2009.



W San Francisco

#### **Alameda County**

Alameda County had a 40% decrease in transactions, from 5 to 3. The total dollar volume dropped 68% to \$10.4 million.

The average price per room decreased 39% and the median price per room rose 8%.

The largest and most expensive sale was the \$5.29 million paid for the 54-room Comfort Suites in Castro Valley.



**Comfort Suites Castro Valley** 

#### **Santa Clara County**

Transactions in Santa Clara County dropped 86%, from 7 to 1. The total dollar volume dropped 99% to \$190,000.

The average price per room dropped 57%. The median price per room was down 59%.

The only sale was the 4-room Apple Lane Inn in Aptos.



**Apple Lane Inn Aptos** 

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group Irvine, California

## Atlas Hospitality Group California Hotel Sales Survey Year-End 2009

### **San Mateo County**

San Mateo County had a 50% decrease in transactions, from 2 to 1. The total dollar volume dropped 46% to  $$2.1\ million.$ 

The average and median price per room increased 73%.

The only sale was the 33-room Travel Inn Motel in San Carlos, which sold for \$2.1 million.



**Travel Inn Motel San Carlos** 

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group

Irvine, California

## Recap

In reviewing our forecast from the beginning of 2009, we predicted the following:

- Sales will continue to decline in the first half of the year and pick up in the second half. Sales activity jumped 20% in the second half of the year.
- The market will be dominated by distressed sales.
  Lenders have continued to delay the foreclosure process, opting instead to extend and forebear.
  So even though we now have foreclosed hotels that equal 73% of the entire number of 2009 sales transactions, the vast majority of these have not been resold.
- The number of sales will decline 10-20%.
  Sales were down 51%, much greater than predicted.
- Prices are set to fall dramatically, between 20-40%.
  The median price per room declined 30%.
- The shortage of buyers and lack of financing will add more downward pressure on prices. We continue to see prices heading down.
- Many hospitality lenders will simply go out of business in 2009.
  140 American banks failed in 2009. Of these, 16 were in California.
- Lenders will look to sell their hotel loans (some at huge discounts) to avoid foreclosing and becoming hotel operators.
   Lenders have sold hotel loans, but not anywhere near the level we expected.

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group

Irvine, California

## **Forecast**

For 2010, we predict the following:

- Sales activity will increase dramatically from the 2009 record low; we expect to see 150-175 transactions.
- Dollar volume will also increase, almost double 2009's number.
- Prices will decline 10-20%.
- The sale of hotel loans will rise substantially.
- Lender sales will account for over 50% of transactions.

Source: Atlas Hospitality Group Irvine, California

# **California Hotel Sales Comparable Summary**

Year-End Report -- 2009 vs. 2008

Northern California vs. Southern California

**Entire California Summary** 

|         | N    | o. Transa | action  | S               | ales Price    |         | N      | o. of R | ms      |          | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009      | % Chg   | 2008            | 2009          | % Chg   | 2008   | 2009    | % Chg   | 2008     | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 187  | 92        | -50.80% | \$2,132,615,269 | \$524,992,868 | -75.38% | 16,269 | 6,040   | -62.87% |          |          |         |
| Average |      |           |         | \$12,048,674    | \$5,706,444   | -52.64% | 87     | 66      | -24.54% | \$97,391 | \$71,132 | -26.96% |
| Median  |      |           |         | \$4,300,000     | \$2,130,000   | -50.47% | 56     | 46      | -18.75% | \$80,667 | \$56,528 | -29.92% |

## **Transactions Above \$5,000,000**

| Total   | 82 | 25 | -69.51% | \$1,901,418,194 | \$408,146,000 | -78.53% | 11,914 | 3,240 | -72.81% |           |           |        |
|---------|----|----|---------|-----------------|---------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Average |    |    |         | \$23,767,727    | \$16,325,840  | -31.31% | 145    | 130   | -10.80% | \$130,398 | \$120,287 | -7.75% |
| Median  |    |    |         | \$9,050,000     | \$11,150,000  | 23.20%  | 106    | 95    | -10.38% | \$114,567 | \$108,511 | -5.29% |

Northern California Summary

|         | N    | o. Transa | action  | S             | ales Price    |         | N     | lo. of R | ms      |          | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009      | % Chg   | 2008          | 2009          | % Chg   | 2008  | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008     | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 86   | 51        | -40.70% | \$592,101,711 | \$289,027,825 | -51.19% | 6,741 | 2,921    | -56.67% |          |          |         |
| Average |      |           |         | \$7,494,958   | \$5,667,212   | -24.39% | 78    | 57       | -26.93% | \$81,819 | \$72,524 | -11.36% |
| Median  |      |           |         | \$4,150,000   | \$2,100,000   | -49.40% | 61    | 41       | -32.23% | \$70,245 | \$53,333 | -24.07% |

Southern California Summary

|         | N    | o. Trans | action  | S               | ales Price    |         | N     | lo. of R | ms      |           | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------------|---------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008            | 2009          | % Chg   | 2008  | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008      | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 101  | 41       | -59.41% | \$1,540,513,558 | \$235,965,043 | -84.68% | 9,528 | 3,119    | -67.26% |           |          |         |
| Average |      |          |         | \$15,719,526    | \$5,755,245   | -63.39% | 94    | 76       | -19.36% | \$131,173 | \$69,402 | -47.09% |
| Median  |      |          |         | \$4,350,000     | \$2,700,000   | -37.93% | 54    | 64       | 18.52%  | \$110,000 | \$66,096 | -39.91% |

## **California Hotel Sales Comparable Summary**

Year-End Report -- 2009 vs. 2008 Southern California Counties

**Los Angeles County** 

| _       | No   | . Transa | action  | S               | ales Price   |         | 1     | No. of I | Rms     |           | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------------|--------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008            | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008  | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008      | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 39   | 4        | -89.74% | \$1,076,318,778 | \$41,100,000 | -96.18% | 4,610 | 510      | -88.94% |           |          |         |
| Average |      |          |         | \$27,597,917    | \$10,275,000 | -62.77% | 118   | 128      | 7.86%   | \$154,046 | \$52,790 | -65.73% |
| Median  |      |          |         | \$5,900,000     | \$3,050,000  | -48.31% | 55    | 140      | 154.55% | \$121,429 | \$21,446 | -82.34% |

**Orange County** 

|         | No | . Transa | action  | S             | ales Price   |         | 1     | No. of I | Rms     |          | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|----|----------|---------|---------------|--------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
|         |    |          | % Chg   | 2008          | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008  | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008     | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 15 | 8        | -46.67% | \$209,750,000 | \$54,750,000 | -73.90% | 1,800 | 839      | -53.39% |          |          |         |
| Average |    |          |         | \$16,134,615  | \$6,843,750  | -57.58% | 120   | 105      | -12.60% | \$98,324 | \$60,624 | -38.34% |
| Median  |    |          |         | \$9,100,000   | \$4,250,000  | -53.30% | 85    | 83       | -2.94%  | \$97,683 | \$69,444 | -28.91% |

**Riverside County** 

|         | No   | . Transa | action  | S            | ales Price   |         | ľ     | No. of I | Rms     |          | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008         | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008  | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008     | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 18   | 7        | -61.11% | \$73,807,530 | \$34,491,590 | -53.27% | 1,091 | 549      | -49.68% |          |          |         |
| Average |      |          |         | \$4,100,418  | \$4,927,370  | 20.17%  | 61    | 78       | 29.40%  | \$94,080 | \$60,076 | -36.14% |
| Median  |      |          |         | \$2,575,000  | \$1,900,000  | -26.21% | 37    | 63       | 70.27%  | \$85,045 | \$66,096 | -22.28% |

San Bernardino County

|         | No   | . Transa | action | S            | ales Price   |         | 1    | No. of I | Rms    |          | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|----------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------|------|----------|--------|----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg  | 2008         | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg  | 2008     | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 8    | 11       | 37.50% | \$25,152,000 | \$24,396,305 | -3.00%  | 454  | 582      | 28.19% |          |          |         |
| Average |      |          |        | \$3,144,000  | \$2,217,846  | -29.46% | 57   | 53       | -6.77% | \$59,731 | \$51,889 | -13.13% |
| Median  |      |          |        | \$1,475,000  | \$2,000,000  | 35.59%  | 33   | 36       | 10.77% | \$62,393 | \$40,404 | -35.24% |

San Diego County

|         | No   | . Transa | action  | S             | ales Price   |         | 1     | No. of I | Rms     | - 14     | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|----------|---------|---------------|--------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008          | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008  | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008     | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 14   | 6        | -57.14% | \$144,822,750 | \$50,450,000 | -65.16% | 1,410 | 408      | -71.06% |          |          |         |
| Average |      |          |         | \$10,344,482  | \$8,408,333  | -18.72% | 101   | 68       | -32.48% | \$91,308 | \$90,700 | -0.67%  |
| Median  |      |          |         | \$7,475,000   | \$2,325,000  | -68.90% | 98    | 53       | -46.43% | \$89,737 | \$64,929 | -27.65% |

# **California Hotel Sales Comparable Summary**

Year-End Report -- 2009 vs. 2008 Northern California Highlights

San Francisco

|         | N    | o. Trans | action  |              | Sales Price  |         | ľ    | No. of I | Rms     |           | Price/Rm  |        |
|---------|------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008         | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008      | 2009      | % Chg  |
| Total   | 4    | 2        | -50.00% | \$22,100,000 | \$92,050,000 | 316.52% | 263  | 443      | 68.44%  |           |           |        |
| Average |      |          |         | \$5,525,000  | \$46,025,000 | 733.03% | 66   | 222      | 236.88% | \$130,398 | \$137,668 | 5.58%  |
| Median  |      |          |         | \$5,100,000  | \$46,025,000 | 802.45% | 65   | 222      | 240.77% | \$114,567 | \$137,668 | 20.16% |

### Alameda

|         | N    | o. Trans | action  | 9            | Sales Price  |         | ľ    | No. of I | Rms     |           | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008         | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008      | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 5    | 3        | -40.00% | \$32,843,500 | \$10,390,000 | -68.37% | 320  | 120      | -62.50% |           |          |         |
| Average |      |          |         | \$6,568,700  | \$3,463,333  | -47.28% | 64   | 40       | -37.50% | \$112,329 | \$68,825 | -38.73% |
| Median  |      |          |         | \$4,972,500  | \$5,100,000  | 2.56%   | 70   | 47       | -32.86% | \$90,679  | \$97,963 | 8.03%   |

### Santa Clara

|         | N    | o. Trans | action  | 9            | Sales Price |         | I    | No. of I | Rms     |           | Price/Rm |         |
|---------|------|----------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008         | 2009        | % Chg   | 2008 | 2009     | % Chg   | 2008      | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 7    | 1        | -85.71% | \$64,012,000 | \$190,000   | -99.70% | 563  | 4        | -99.29% |           |          |         |
| Average |      |          |         | \$10,668,667 | \$190,000   | -98.22% | 80   | 4        | -95.03% | \$110,202 | \$47,500 | -56.90% |
| Median  |      |          |         | \$9,290,000  | \$190,000   | -97.95% | 59   | 4        | -93.22% | \$117,059 | \$47,500 | -59.42% |

## San Mateo

|         | No. Transaction |      |         | Sales Price |             |         | No. of Rms |      |         | Price/Rm |          |        |
|---------|-----------------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|------------|------|---------|----------|----------|--------|
|         | 2008            | 2009 | % Chg   | 2008        | 2009        | % Chg   | 2008       | 2009 | % Chg   | 2008     | 2009     | % Chg  |
| Total   | 2               | 1    | -50.00% | \$3,900,000 | \$2,100,000 | -46.15% | 135        | 33   | -75.56% |          |          |        |
| Average |                 |      |         | \$3,900,000 | \$2,100,000 | -46.15% | 68         | 33   | -51.11% | \$36,792 | \$63,636 | 72.96% |
| Median  |                 |      |         | \$3,900,000 | \$2,100,000 | -46.15% | 68         | 33   | -51.11% | \$36,792 | \$63,636 | 72.96% |

## Sacramento

|         | No. Transaction |      |         | Sales Price   |              |         | No. of Rms |      |         | Price/Rm  |          |         |
|---------|-----------------|------|---------|---------------|--------------|---------|------------|------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
|         | 2008            | 2009 | % Chg   | 2008          | 2009         | % Chg   | 2008       | 2009 | % Chg   | 2008      | 2009     | % Chg   |
| Total   | 10              | 4    | -60.00% | \$216,105,000 | \$27,437,825 | -87.30% | 1,433      | 342  | -76.13% |           |          |         |
| Average |                 |      |         | \$27,013,125  | \$6,859,456  | -74.61% | 143        | 86   | -40.33% | \$112,329 | \$68,610 | -38.92% |
| Median  |                 |      |         | \$11,037,500  | \$4,825,000  | -56.29% | 122        | 79   | -35.25% | \$90,679  | \$66,810 | -26.32% |